

# **Gary Howell**

Applied Mathematics  
Florida Institute of Technology  
Melbourne, Florida 32901-6975  
Internet: [howell@zach.fit.edu](mailto:howell@zach.fit.edu)

# **Efficient Reduction of General Matrices to Small Band Form**

**Gary Howell**

Department of Applied Mathematics  
Florida Institute of Technology  
Melbourne, FL 32901  
e-mail [howell@zach.fit.edu](mailto:howell@zach.fit.edu)

## Bidiagonalization for SVD

The first step in determining singular values of a general matrix is in bidiagonalizing by alternately eliminating rows and columns by Householder transformations. If only the singular values are to be determined, this is the only  $O(n^3)$  part of the algorithm.

- The current LAPACK implementation performs left and right matrix vector multiplies for each paired row-column elimination.
- The matrix vector multiplies are accomplished by two calls to the BLAS-2 algorithm GEMV.
- For each call to GEMV the entire active part of the matrix must be called from main memory through cache to the CPU.

## A More Efficient SVD

- A more efficient approach is to combine the two matrix vector multiplies in one call to GEMVT.
- GEMVT will allow half as many reads from memory to cache.
- Potentially, overall execution time can be halved.
- GEMVT will be part of the new BLAS standard.

# Computation of eigenvalues by reduction to small-band form by the **BHES** algorithm

- Requires  $8/3 n^3$  double precision precision flops + flops required for iterations.
- Reduction is stable (or unstable) in a similar sense to EISPACK ELMHES (standard 1980's routine for reduction to similar Hessenberg form).
- The bulk of the flops are in the reduction to small band form and run at a good Mflop rate.

- Potentially the best throughput (problems/second).
- Reduction code available from [howell@zach.fit.edu](mailto:howell@zach.fit.edu)

# Compare to Reduction to Hessenberg form followed by QR iteration

- Requires 10-20  $n^3$  double precision flops.
- The bulk of the flops are in QR iteration and run at a low Mflop rate.
- Best accuracy. Known examples of non-convergence are rare and can be easily rectified by allowing rare exceptional shifts and/or exceptional balancing.
- Quality code available from LAPACK and others.

# Stability (Instability) of Direct Reduction BHESS

- Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix and

$$H = fl(X^{-1}AX)$$

be the Hessenberg matrix produced by the BHESS algorithm. Then

$$H = \widetilde{X}^{-1}(A_0 + E)\widetilde{X} \quad (1)$$

where

$$\|E\|_2 \leq 12 n \text{cond}_2(X) \|A\| u \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} [\|\widetilde{m}_{k+1}\|_2^2] + O(u^2)$$

where  $u$  the machine precision,  $A_0 = PAP^T$  is  $A$  with rows and columns permuted so that the reduction to banded Hessenberg form proceeds without permutations.

# The Direct Reduction Algorithm

## BHESS

- If both a row and column can be eliminated, the pivot is chosen to minimize the maximal multiplier for the paired elimination.
- A row-column pair is eligible to be eliminated if  $\sec\theta/j < tol$ , where  $j$  is row length and  $\theta$  is the angle between row and column.
- $tol$  approximately bounds maximal row multiplier if the maximal column multiplier is one. The maximal multiplier is more typically  $tol^{1/2}$ .

- For  $k = 1 \dots n - 2$ , the column is eliminated below the subdiagonal.
- For the  $k$ th step, eliminate the first eligible row with index less than  $k$ .
- If no row is eligible for elimination, use maximal column pivoting in the column elimination.

# Comparison To Look-Ahead Lanczos

- For direct reduction each row-column elimination is allowed to have condition number at worst user-specified *tol*, e.g. 35 – In most look-ahead Lanczos methods pivots are accepted if condition is no worse than  $\sqrt{u}$ , e.g. 1.e8 .
- Multipliers used for direct reduction produce a globally well-conditioned similarity transformation – The left and right vectors for look-ahead Lanczos are locally biorthogonal, but not globally well-conditioned.
- Failures do not occur in direct reduction – Failures sometimes occur in look-ahead Lanczos.

- $N$  steps of direct reduction typically preserve all eigenvalues –  $N$  steps of look-ahead Lanczos result in  $N$  correct eigenvalues only when the matrix size is small.

# Computing Eigenvalues of Small-Band Hessenberg Matrices

- Efficient methods are Rayleigh quotient iteration and BR iteration.
- We can often compute eigenvalues of an unsymmetric matrix in about 1/5 Lapack time. For example, with  $tol = 35$ , and matrix size 1000, LAPACK took 300 seconds, BHES-  
BR 60.
- On the result cited above BHES was running at about 50 MFLOPs, compared to 90 MFLOPS for the LAPACK reduction to Hessenberg form.

## **The new BLAS standard will enable further speed-up**

- Using GEMVT in BHESS can bring the BHESS Mflop rate up to that of LAPACK dgehrd. For the example of the graph BHESS-BR will compute eigenvalues eight times faster than LAPACK.
- Eight times faster gives scope for improving accuracy and reliability by iterative refinement and by using high precision arithmetic.
- High precision operations and GEMVT will be available in the new BLAS (Basic Linear Algebra Subroutines) standard.