

AGENDA

**UNIVERSITY RESEARCH COMMITTEE MEETING
M-8 Caldwell Hall**

12:00 Noon – 1:30 pm

Wednesday, February 20, 2002

Lunch

Welcome

Dennis Daley

Scientific Misconduct

Deb Paxton

Multidisciplinary proposals

Matt Ronning

REVISED AGENDA

**UNIVERSITY RESEARCH COMMITTEE MEETING
M-8 Caldwell Hall**

12:00 Noon – 1:30 pm

Wednesday, February 20, 2002

Lunch

Welcome

Dennis Daley

Scientific Misconduct Policy Final Comments

Deb Paxton

Multidisciplinary FRPD proposals

Matt Ronning

Roles of the URC

**University Research Committee
M-8 Caldwell
Meeting Minutes
February 20, 2002**

Present: Chairman Dennis Daley; Members: Janice Allen, Sarah Berenson, Linda Hanley-Bowdoin, Tom Dow, Pat Fitzgerald, Thomas Hess, Roger Moore, Jay Narayan, Jim Riviere, Dale Sayers, Robert Serow, Moon Suh, Alan Tonelli, Walt Wessels; Ex-officio members: Bob Kelly, Earl Pulliam, Matt Ronning; Guests: Debra Paxton

1. Call to Order

Chairman Dennis Daley welcomed attendees to the meeting, citing agenda items.

2. First on the agenda was a discussion of the draft N. C. State University Policy for Responding to Allegations of Research Misconduct. Debra Paxton, Regulatory Compliance Administrator, fielded questions from members on the proposed policy. A few recommendations for wording changes were made. It was agreed that these minor changes would be incorporated into the final policy document. **The committee unanimously approved the document (with recommended corrections) with the understanding that it will be forwarded to Dr. Charles Moreland for his review.**

3. Discussion followed on the handling of multidisciplinary FRPD proposals. **Matt Ronning indicated that a call would go out this week (via e-mail) for multidisciplinary proposals. Two proposals in the amount of \$15,000 each will be funded. The URC will receive a copy of the program announcement.**

4. Chairman Daley opened discussion on the role of URC members in handling of the FRPD Program. Members expressed their views regarding the procedures presently in place, some feeling that too much time is spent reviewing proposals, with some members feeling uneasy about reviewing proposals out of their expertise. Members liked the idea of having each college review and rank all proposals received in their respective college, submitting the top one to URC for funding. Considering a \$70,000 budget and the URC accepting each of ten colleges' top proposal at \$5,000 each, discussion ensued regarding the use of the additional \$20,000. Some thought that the individual FRPD proposal value should be increased, while others thought that the additional \$20,000 should be folded into the multidisciplinary FRPD program.

Some members felt that perhaps the individual FRPD proposal program should be set aside for smaller colleges instead of including larger colleges. Other members requested that no change be made in present policy regarding inclusion of all colleges.

Bob Kelly suggested innovativeness in the program, perhaps with faculty from two disciplines pairing together in preparing a career proposal. Suggestions were made for increasing the value of the FRPD awards by letting awards serve as a leveraging project, and seeking extramural matching funds.

Members discussed definition of the most important use of these FRPD funds, questioning the need for changing the rules for distribution of funds, and how the URC can be utilized in encouraging faculty toward career establishment.

Responding to a question regarding effectiveness of the FRPD program, Chairman Daley indicated that the program has helped young faculty members 1) gain tenure, 2) gain recognition from the university; 3) gain access to seed money, which has symbolic value

A motion was made and unanimously passed by the URC to allow each college to only submit the top two (2) FRPD proposals to the URC for funding consideration. The top proposal from each college will be funded while the remaining funds will be awarded from the pool of the second ranked proposal from each college.

5. **Lastly, Matt Ronning addressed the need for nominations for a new chair person for the URC. He will send out an e-mail and request each member to nominate himself or herself, or someone else, to serve as the next chair of the URC.**
6. The meeting was adjourned.

Respectfully submitted,

**Ruby Dement
Recording Secretary**

**UNIVERSITY RESEARCH COMMITTEE MEETING
109 Page Hall**

12:00 Noon – 1:30 pm

Wednesday, April 17, 2002

Lunch

Welcome

Presentation –Sabbatical to National Academies (Ray Fornes)

URC Rules of Governance

Discussion of FRPD proposals

Establish time and date for final academic-year meeting (May)

**UNIVERSITY RESEARCH COMMITTEE MEETING
109 Page Hall**

12:00 Noon – 1:30 pm

Wednesday, April 17, 2002

Lunch

Welcome

Presentation –Sabbatical to National Academies (Ray Fornes)

URC Rules of Governance

Discussion of FRPD proposals

Establish time and date for final academic-year meeting (May)

**UNIVERSITY RESEARCH COMMITTEE MEETING
109 Page Hall**

12:00 Noon – 1:30 pm

Wednesday, August 14, 2002

Welcome

Hanley-Bowdoin

Capitalizing on Homeland Security Initiatives

Lommel and Sowell

Post 9/11 Terms and Conditions

Winwood

Select Agent Assurance

David Rainer

Upcoming Meetings with Bob Samors on September 4

Sowell